

## REMARKS


The Office Action mailed April 26, 2006, has been carefully reviewed and the foregoing amendment has been made in response thereto. Claims 1-8 and 11 are pending in the application.

The disclosure was objected to due to spelling/typographical errors. Applicants have amended the specification accordingly.

Claims 1-8 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sickert et al (US 2003/00115980). In response, Applicants submit herewith a Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 declaring that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventors of this application and is, thus, not an invention "by another." Consequently, Applicants have overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, claims 1-8 and 11 are now in condition for allowance. Favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Rhonda L. McCoy-Pfau  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 37,887

Dated: 6-28-06  
Rhonda L. McCoy-Pfau, PLLC  
2053 Stonebridge Way  
Canton, MI 48188  
(734) 495-0998